

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,433	10/10/2000	Yutaka Osajima	D-1006	9718
7:	590 03/01/2004		EXAM	IINER
KANESAKA AND TAKEUCHI			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
1423 Powhatan Alexandria, V			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1-			
	09/684,433	OSAJIMA ET AL.	$\mathcal{D}$			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leigh McKane	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> M	•				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirts will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	—· is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	•	ers, prosecution as to the merit	s is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	``	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		21(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer	ntë have heen received					
Certified copies of the priority documer  Certified copies of the priority documer		polication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		· ·	1			
application from the International Bure	=	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/684,433

Art Unit: 1744

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Osajima et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,616,849 B1).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Osajima et al teaches a continuous processing method for a liquid-form raw material wherein the method includes dissolving liquid CO<sub>2</sub> into continuously supplied raw material in either chamber 11 (Figure 1) or flow path 53 (Figure 3), changing the CO<sub>2</sub> to a supercritical state under controlled temperature and pressure within pipe 20 and maintaining the mixture at 30-80 °C and 40-400 atm, decompressing the mixture to remove the CO<sub>2</sub> within chamber 24, and collecting both the treated material 26 and CO<sub>2</sub> 28,29. See Abstract; col.4, lines 63-66; and Figures 1 and 3. For purposes of this rejection, the "holding section" as claimed in claim 8 is considered to be the pipe extending between the chamber 11 and the warming pipe 20 in Figure

Application/Control Number: 09/684,433

Art Unit: 1744

1 or the section of pipe extending between the filter **61** and the warming pipe **62** in Figure 3. Moreover, as evidenced in Figures 5A and 5B, the apparatus can have a plurality of dissolution units **61** for introducing the CO<sub>2</sub>.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Riede et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,384,090 B1) teaches a method and apparatus for treating a material with CO<sub>2</sub>.

Osajima et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,704,276 A) discloses a method and apparatus for treating a liquid-form raw material with supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (7:15 am-4:45 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1275. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/684,433

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leigh McKane
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

elm 23 February 2004